SUPREME COURT MINUTES THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 4, 2008 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S031641

PEOPLE v. TATE (GREGORY O.)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Harry Gruber's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by November 4, 2008, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 4, 2008. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S049741

PEOPLE v. SUFF (WILLIAM LESTER)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Chief Assistant State Public Defender Donald J. Ayoob's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by early 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to October 27, 2008. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 90 additional days are contemplated.

S072161 (**THOMAS**)

PEOPLE v. POTTS

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Michael P. Goldstein's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by March 18, 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 4, 2008. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 135 additional days are contemplated.

S076337

PEOPLE v. CHARLES III (EDWARD)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy Attorney General Peter Quon, Jr.'s representation that he anticipates filing the respondent's brief by February 27, 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to October 28, 2008. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days are contemplated.

S080477

PEOPLE v. BANKS (KELVYN RONDELL)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Stephen M. Lathrop's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by November 4, 2008, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 4, 2008. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S087533

PEOPLE v. POPS (ASWAD) & WILSON (BYRON)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Marilee Marshall's representation that she anticipates filing appellant Aswad Pops's opening brief by October 2, 2008, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to October 2, 2008. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S087569

PEOPLE v. SANCHEZ (JUAN)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to November 4, 2008.

S160978

VIGIL (MARSHALL A.) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the Informal Response is extended to October 8, 2008.

S155094

EPISCOPAL CHURCH

CASES

Order filed

The request of counsel for appellants in the above-referenced cause to allow two counsel to argue on behalf of appellants at oral argument is hereby granted.

The request of appellants to allocate to the Los Angeles Diocese parties (Rasmussen et al.) 15 minutes and the Episcopal Church 15 minutes of appellants' 30-minute allotted time for oral argument is granted.

S164868

SCHUMAN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that PETER G. SCHUMAN, State Bar No. 182365, be suspended from the practice of law for two years, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for three years subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on May 14, 2008. It is further ordered that PETER G. SCHUMAN take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and one-third of said costs be paid with membership fees for the years 2009, 2010 and 2011. It is further ordered that if PETER G. SCHUMAN fails to pay any installment of the disciplinary costs within the time provided herein or as may be modified by the State Bar Court pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10, subdivision (c), the remaining balance of the costs is due and payable immediately unless relief has been granted under the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California (Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 286). The payment of costs is enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S164869

SLIJEPCEVICH ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that DANIEL SLIJEPCEVICH, State Bar No. 152392, be suspended from the practice of law for one year and until he has shown proof of the specified conditions regarding the Rawlings Company, including payment and/or arbitration as set forth in the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court's Order Approving Stipulation filed May 1, 2008, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years on condition that he be actually suspended for 30 days. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed May 1, 2008, as modified by its order filed May 27, 2008. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and costs to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 with membership years 2009 and 2010. It is further ordered that if DANIEL SLIJEPCEVICH fails to pay any installment of the disciplinary costs within the time provided herein or as may be modified by the State Bar Court pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10, subdivision (c), the remaining balance of the costs is due and payable immediately unless relief has been granted under the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California (Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 286). The payment of costs is enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S164870

LAMSON ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that DONALD WILLIAM LAMSON, State Bar No. 97363, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for eighteen months subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on May 14, 2008. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10, and one-third of said costs be paid with membership fees for the years 2009, 2010, and 2011. It is further ordered that if DONALD LAMSON fails to pay any installment of disciplinary costs within the time provided herein or as may be modified by the State Bar Court pursuant to section 6086.10, subdivision (c), the remaining balance of the costs is due and payable immediately unless relief has been granted under the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California (Rules Proc. Of State Bar, rule 286). The payment of costs is enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S164872

SMITH ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that ARLO HALE SMITH, State Bar No. 96971, be suspended from the practice of law for two years and until he provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for three years subject to the conditions of probation, including restitution, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on April 29, 2008. Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.